



**MIGUEL SERVET TIPO I EVALUATION SCORES 2016**

| CANDIDATE: 0-60 POINTS  |      |                 |   |                             |   |  |
|---|------|-----------------|---|-----------------------------|---|--|
| PAPERS 2010-2015  | 0-45 |                 | MA  | No MA                       | <b>Main author: signs as 1st, seniors or corresponding author</b> |  |
|   |      | 1 D             | 4,5/P   | 1/P                         |   |  |
|   |      | 1 C             | 3/P   | 0,5/P                       |   |  |
|   |      | 2 C             | 1/P   | 0,25/P                      |   |  |
|   |      | Other C         | 0,5/P   | 0,2/P                       |   |  |
| CORRECTION FACTOR   |      | <b>Increase</b> | Up to 45 if main author of papers published in high profile journals                          |                             |   |  |
|   |      | <b>Decrease</b> | 50%, if usually no first or senior author   |                             |   |  |
| OTHER MERITS  | 0-15 | 0-10            | Mobility* (after the PhD degree in centers different from those where the degree was awarded) |                             | 0 Poor<br>2 Fair<br>4 Good<br>6 Very good<br>10 Excellent         |  |
|   |      | 0-5             | Projects  |                             |   |  |
|   |      | 0-10            | 5   | FSE Diploma                 |   |  |
|   |      |                 | 10  | Río Hortega Programme + FSE |   |  |
| RESEARCH PROJECT: 0-40 POINTS   |      |                 |   |                             |   |  |
| TECHNICAL AND SCIENTIFIC QUALITY OF THE PROPOSAL  | 0-20 | 0-9             | Poor  |                             |   |  |
|   |      | 10-14           | Fair  |                             |   |  |
|   |      | 15-16           | Relevant  |                             |   |  |
|   |      | 17-19           | Highly Relevant   |                             |   |  |
|   |      | 20              | Outstanding   |                             |   |  |
| TRANSLATIONAL ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSAL   | 0-15 | 0-6             | Poor  |                             |   |  |
|   |      | 7-10            | Fair  |                             |   |  |
|   |      | 11-12           | Relevant  |                             |   |  |
|   |      | 13-14           | Highly Relevant   |                             |   |  |
|   |      | 15              | Outstanding   |                             |   |  |
| IMPACT OF THE PROPOSAL IN THE R&D CAPACITY OF THE CENTER AND SPANISH NATIONAL HEALTH SYSTEM | 0-5  |                 |   |                             |   |  |

\* International mobility. National mobility should be between Autonomous Communities in order to be evaluated

Long stays without scientific production will not be excellent

10 BEST PAPERS (2011-2016)

REVIEWS (YES)

IN PRESS (YES)

SHORT COMMUNICATION (YES)

INDEXED IN JCR 2014

SUBMITTED (NO)

CASE REPORT, LETTERS (to the editor), EDITORIALS AND BOOK CHAPTERS (NO)

GROUP SIGN/CONSORTIUM (NO)

Candidates must send the full-text of the publications which are not original articles (short communication, reviews...) in order to be evaluated